

## CLAIMS:

1. A method of providing printability analysis for a defect on a physical mask, the method comprising:

generating a simulated wafer image of the physical mask;

generating a simulated wafer image of a reference mask, the reference mask corresponding to a defect-free physical mask;

identifying a first feature proximate to the defect on the simulated wafer image of the physical mask;

identifying a second feature on the simulated wafer image of the reference mask, the second feature corresponding to the first feature; and

comparing the first and second features to provide the printability analysis.

2. The method of Claim 1, wherein comparing includes determining a first critical dimension of the first feature and a second critical dimension of the second feature.

3. The method of Claim 2, wherein comparing includes calculating a relative critical dimension deviation for the first and second features.

4. The method of Claim 3, wherein calculating the relative critical dimension deviation includes subtracting the second critical dimension from the first critical dimension, and dividing a resulting value by the second critical dimension.

5. The method of Claim 3, further including:

identifying a first plurality of features proximate to the defect on the simulated wafer image of the physical mask;

S-b  
A.

TOP SECRET

identifying a second plurality of features on the simulated wafer image of the reference mask, the second plurality of features corresponding to the first plurality of features; and calculating a plurality of relative critical dimension deviations for the first and second plurality of features.

6. The method of Claim 5, further including determining the largest of the plurality of relative critical dimension deviations, thereby providing a maximum critical dimension deviation.

7. The method of Claim 1, further including:  
identifying a third defect-free feature on the simulated wafer image of the physical mask;  
identifying a fourth feature on the simulated wafer image of the reference mask, the fourth feature corresponding to the third feature; and  
comparing the third and fourth features.

8. The method of Claim 7, wherein comparing the third and fourth features includes determining a first critical dimension of the third feature and a second critical dimension of the fourth feature.

9. The method of Claim 8, wherein comparing includes calculating a critical dimension deviation for the first and second features.

10. The method of Claim 9, wherein calculating the critical dimension deviation includes subtracting the first critical dimension from the second critical dimension, and dividing a resulting value by the second critical dimension.

Sub  
A'

FOUO-EE04360

11. The method of Claim 9, further including calculating the critical dimension deviation for N defect-free features on the simulated wafer image of the physical mask, wherein N is an integer equal to or greater than two.

Sub A' 12. The method of Claim 11, wherein the critical dimension deviation for each defect-free feature is added, and a resulting value is divided by N, thereby providing an average critical dimension deviation.

13. A method of providing printability analysis for a defect on a physical mask, the method comprising:

generating a simulated wafer image of the physical mask;  
generating a simulated wafer image of a reference mask, the reference mask corresponding to a defect-free physical mask; and  
comparing the simulated wafer images of the physical and reference masks to provide the printability analysis.

14. The method of Claim 13, further including determining a defect severity score based on the step of comparing.

15. The method of Claim 13, wherein the step of comparing includes:

generating a first process window based on a first feature on the simulated wafer image of the physical mask;

generating a second process window based on a second feature on the simulated wafer image of the reference mask, wherein the second feature corresponds to the first feature; and

determining a common process window based on the first and second process windows.

16. The method of Claim 15, wherein the common process window is based on a plot of exposure deviation versus defocus.

17. The method of Claim 15, wherein the common process window is based on a plot of exposure latitude versus depth of focus.

18. A method of providing printability analysis for a defect on a physical mask, the method comprising:

generating a simulated wafer image of the physical mask;  
identifying a first feature on the simulated wafer image affected by the defect;  
identifying a second feature on the simulated wafer image unaffected by the defect, wherein the first and second features have substantially the same critical dimension in the absence of the defect; and  
comparing the first and second features.

19. The method of Claim 18, wherein comparing includes:  
providing a first process window for the first feature;  
providing a second process window for the second feature;  
and  
determining a common process window based on the first and second process windows.

20. The method of Claim 19, wherein the common process window is based on a plot of exposure deviation versus defocus.

21. The method of Claim 19, wherein the common process window is based on a plot of exposure latitude versus depth of focus.

22. A method of fabricating a physical mask, the method comprising:

designing an integrated circuit;

creating mask design data for a layer of the integrated circuit;

manufacturing a physical mask conforming to the mask design data;

inspecting the physical mask based on a simulated wafer image of the physical mask and a simulated wafer image of a reference mask, wherein the reference mask corresponds to a defect-free physical mask; and

determining whether the physical mask passes inspection.

23. The method of Claim 22, wherein inspecting includes: comparing the simulated wafer images of the physical and reference masks.

24. The method of Claim 23, wherein inspecting further includes determining a defect severity score based on the step of comparing.

25. The method of Claim 23, wherein inspecting further includes:

generating a first process window based on a first feature on the simulated wafer image of the physical mask;

generating a second process window based on a second feature on the simulated wafer image of the reference mask, wherein the second feature corresponds to the first feature; and

determining a common process window based on the first and second process windows.

26. The method of Claim 25, wherein the common process window is based on a plot of exposure deviation versus defocus.

27. The method of Claim 25, wherein the common process window is based on a plot of exposure latitude versus depth of focus.

28. A method of generating a defect severity score for a defect on a mask, the method comprising:

providing two-dimensional analysis on the defect and a first feature on the mask, the first feature being proximate to the defect;

providing a first wafer image of the mask; and

providing defect analysis on a second feature on the wafer image, the second feature corresponding to the first feature being simulated.

29. The method of Claim 28, further including:

identifying a third feature on a defect-free reference image of the mask, the third feature representing the first feature;

providing a second wafer image of the reference image, the second wafer image including a fourth feature, the fourth feature corresponding to the third feature being simulated; and

providing defect analysis on the fourth feature.

30. The method of Claim 29, wherein providing defect analysis on the second and fourth features includes comparing critical dimensions on the second and fourth features.

31. The method of Claim 30, wherein providing defect analysis further includes determining changes of the critical dimensions at different exposures.

Sub  
A

FOR REPRODUCTION

32. The method of Claim 31, wherein providing defect analysis further includes determining a maximum critical dimension change for each exposure.

33. The method of Claim 32, wherein providing defect analysis further includes calculating a relative maximum critical dimension change for each exposure.

34. The method of Claim 29, further including providing a calibration between the first and second wafer images.

35. A system for analyzing a defect on a physical mask, the system comprising:

an inspection tool for generating a mask image from the physical mask and a reference image from a reference mask;

a wafer image generator for simulating a stepper mask image from the mask image and a stepper reference image from the reference image; and

a defect printability analysis generator for comparing the stepper mask image and the stepper reference image.

36. The system of Claim 35, further including a critical region identification generator for determining if the defect is located in a critical region of the physical mask, the critical region identification generator providing an output to the defect printability analysis generator.

37. The system of Claim 35, further including a bitmap editor for receiving data from the defect printability analysis generator and providing a suggested repair to the defect.

38. The system of Claim 37, further including a mask repair tool for responding to the suggested repair.

39. The system of Claim 38, wherein the system automatically analyzes the defect and the mask repair tool automatically responds to the suggested repair.

40. The system of Claim 35 wherein the system automatically analyzes the defect and the defect printability analysis generator automatically provides a severity score for the defect.

41. A system for generating a defect severity score for a defect on a physical mask, the system comprising:

means for generating a first image of a feature on the physical mask proximate to the defect and a second image of the feature on the reference image;

means for simulating a first wafer image of the first image and a second wafer image of the second image; and

means for generating the defect severity score based on the first and second wafer images.

42. The system of Claim 41, further including means for identifying if the defect is within a critical region, wherein the means for identifying provides data to the means for generating the defect severity score.

43. The system of Claim 41, wherein the means for simulating includes means for responding to a plurality of lithographic conditions.

44. A physical mask comprising:



at least one defect being modified based on analyzing a simulated wafer image of the physical mask and a simulated wafer image of a reference mask, the reference mask corresponding to a defect-free physical mask; and

at least one defect being unmodified based on analyzing the simulated wafer image of the physical mask and the simulated wafer image of the reference mask.

45. A physical mask comprising:

at least one irregularity being modified based on analyzing a simulated wafer image of the physical mask; and

at least one irregularity being unmodified based on analyzing the simulated wafer image of the physical mask.

46. A physical mask comprising:

at least one feature being modified based on comparing a simulated wafer image of the physical mask and a simulated wafer image of a reference mask, the reference mask corresponding to a defect-free physical mask; and

at least one feature being unmodified based on comparing the simulated wafer image of the physical mask and the simulated wafer image of the reference mask.

47. An integrated circuit fabricated using a physical mask comprising:

at least one feature being modified based on comparing a simulated wafer image of the physical mask and a simulated wafer image of a reference mask, the reference mask corresponding to a defect-free physical mask; and

at least one feature being unmodified based on comparing the simulated wafer image of the physical mask and the simulated wafer image of the reference mask.

TOP SECRET

5-6  
A'

48. An integrated circuit fabricated using a physical mask made by the following steps:

generating a simulated wafer image of the physical mask;

generating a simulated wafer image of a reference mask, the reference mask corresponding to a defect-free physical mask; and

comparing the simulated wafer images of the physical and reference masks.

49. Computer software for analyzing a defect on a first mask, the software including:

means for generating a simulated wafer image of the first mask;

means for generating a simulated wafer image of a second mask, the second mask corresponding to a defect-free first mask; and

means for comparing the simulated wafer images of the first and second masks to analyze printability of the defect.

50. The computer software of Claim 49, wherein the means for comparing includes:

means for generating a defect severity score for the defect.

51. The computer software of Claim 49, further including:

means for providing repair information on the defect based on the printability.

52. The computer software of Claim 50, further including:

means for providing repair information on the defect based on the defect severity score.

53. A method of inspecting a physical mask, the physical mask including a defect, the method comprising the following steps:

generating a simulated wafer image of the physical mask;  
generating a simulated wafer image of a reference mask, the reference mask corresponding to a defect-free physical mask; and  
comparing the simulated wafer images of the physical and reference masks to provide information on the defect.

54. The method of Claim 53, wherein comparing includes generating a defect severity score.

55. The method of Claim 53, further including:  
communicating the information on the defect to a mask repair tool.

56. The method of Claim 54, further including:  
communicating the defect severity score to a mask repair tool.

57. The method of Claim 53, wherein the reference mask includes one of the following: a simulated image of a layout of the physical mask, a defect-free area of the physical mask having a substantially identical pattern to that including the defect, and a simulated image of the physical mask as the physical mask is processed in manufacturing.

58. The method of Claim 53, wherein generating the simulated image of the physical mask compensates for image distortions created during image capture.